

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	<del></del>			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/596,634	06/19/2006	Norbert Cottone	72274	8594
23872 7590 10/05/2007 MCGLEW & TUTTLE, PC P.O. BOX 9227 SCARBOROUGH STATION			EXAMINER	
			PARADISO, JOHN ROGER	
SCARBOROUGH, NY 10510-9227			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3721	
		,		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<del></del>	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/596,634	COTTONE			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	John R. Paradiso	3721			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- pod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute. cause the application to become AF	CATION.  reply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19	June 2006.				
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex par</i> te Q <i>uayle</i> , 1935 C.D	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-3.7.8.10.12.15.16.19.21-42.44-46	5.48.50 and 53-55 is/are pen	iding in the application			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-3,7,8,10,12,15,16,19,21-42,44-46,48,50 and 53-55</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>1-3,7,8,10,12,15,16,19,21-42,44-46</u>	<u>6,48,50 and 53-55</u> is/are reje	ected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bure	. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not	received.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/19/06.					

Application/Control Number: 10/596,634 Page 2

Art Unit: 3721

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

- 1. The following claims are objected to because of the following informalities:
  - Claim 5 is dependent upon claim 4, a cancelled claim;
  - Claim 7 is dependent upon claim 6, a cancelled claim;
  - Claim 10 is dependent upon claim 8, a cancelled claim;
  - Claim 12 is dependent upon claim 10, a cancelled claim;
  - Claim 22 is dependent upon claim 20, a cancelled claim.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-3, 7, 8, 10, 12, 15, 16, 19, 21-42, 44-46, 48, 50, and 53-55 rejected under 35
   U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over FADAIE
   (US 5328319).

Art Unit: 3721

FADAIE discloses a method and apparatus for handling objects (32) in which at least part of a load is gripped (see Fig. 3A and 4A), moved, and loaded. The objects gripped are being read as the claimed "modified arrangement".

FADAIE does not specifically disclose the objects as being rod-shaped.

However, the objects disclosed in FADAIE are cylindrical, and further, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the method and apparatus of FADAIE to handle any shape of object to provide a wider choice of articles for the end-user.

Regarding claims 2 and 23, the gripper (50) can be clearly shown changing its geometry during use (see Fig. 5A and 5B).

Regarding claim 5, the gripper (50) can be clearly shown changing its spacing as it moves during use (see Fig. 5A and 5B).

Regarding claim 8, the final density shown in FADAIE is being read on the claimed "sought packing density".

Regarding claim 11, Fig. 4B clearly shows the objects being picked and loaded as a block.

Regarding claim 15, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to remove the items row-wise or in any other manner that makes it easy and efficient for the operator.

Application/Control Number: 10/596,634 Page 4

Art Unit: 3721

Regarding claims 16,42, and 46, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a second gripping device for further moving of the objects, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art.

Regarding claims 21-22, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to position the loading aid at any angle that is most efficacious for loading, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art.

#### Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Paradiso. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday, 9:30 p.m. – 6:00 p.m. (ET).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada, can be reached at the number listed below.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the 3700 Technology Center Receptionist.

Examiner John Paradiso: (571) 272-4466

September 30, 2007

Additional Phone Numbers:

Supervisor Rinaldi Rada: (571) Fax (Official): (571)

(571) 272-4467 (571) 273-8300

Fax (Direct to Examiner)

(571) 273-4466 (Drafts only)